GDCh

Angewandte International Edition www.angewandte.org

Cross-Coupling

 How to cite: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2023, 62, e202214633

 International Edition:
 doi.org/10.1002/anie.202214633

 German Edition:
 doi.org/10.1002/ange.202214633

Trifluoromethylation of Carbonyl and Unactivated Olefin Derivatives by C(*sp*³)–C Bond Cleavage

Fei Cong, Riccardo S. Mega, Jinhong Chen, Craig S. Day, and Ruben Martin*

Abstract: Herein, we report a Cu-mediated trifluoromethylation of carbonyl-type compounds and unactivated olefins enabled by visible-light irradiation via σ C(*sp*³)–C bond-functionalization. The reaction is distinguished by its modularity, mild conditions and wide scope—even in the context of late-stage functionalization—thus offering a complementary approach en route to valuable C(*sp*³)–CF₃ architectures from easily accessible precursors.

The incorporation of trifluoromethyl (CF₃) moieties into saturated hydrocarbon skeletons has received considerable echo in pharmaceuticals and agrochemicals owing to their ability to modulate lipophilicity, permeability, metabolic stability and protein-drug interactions.^[1] At present, techniques that allow access to $C(sp^3)$ –CF₃ architectures primarily leverage the innate reactivity of unsaturated compounds,^[2] prefunctionalized alkyl (pseudo)halides,^[3] organometallics,^[4] activated aliphatic alcohols^[5] or $C(sp^3)$ –H sites^[6] via one- or two-electron pathways (Scheme 1).^[7]

Despite the advances realized, the means to enable a trifluoromethylation event via functionalization of aliphatic $C(sp^3)$ -C bonds still constitutes a largely unexplored scenario, with remarkable exceptions being described in elegant decarboxylative couplings (Scheme 1, *bottom*)^[8] or ring-opening of cycloalkanols.^[9] This paucity is likely due to the inertness of the $C(sp^3)$ -C bond, the directionality of the orbitals along the C-C axis and the kinetic preference for $C(sp^3)$ -H activation.^[10] Given that an increase of sp^3 character in drug candidates improves several molecular attributes that contribute to clinical success,^[11] the design of a new trifluoromethylation blueprint via $C(sp^3)$ -C cleavage might hold promise to streamline the access to valuable $C(sp^3)$ -

[*] F. Cong, R. S. Mega, J. Chen, C. S. Day, Prof. R. Martin Institute of Chemical Research of Catalonia (ICIQ), The Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology Av. Països Catalans 16, 43007 Tarragona (Spain) E-mail: rmartinromo@iciq.es F. Cong, J. Chen, C. S. Day Departament de Química Analítica i Química Orgànica, Universitat Rovira i Virgili c/Marcel·lí Domingo, 1, 43007 Tarragona (Spain) Prof. R. Martin Catalan Institution for Research and Advanced Studies (ICREA) Passeig Lluïs Companys 23, 08010 Barcelona (Spain)

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2023, 62, e202214633 (1 of 7)



Scheme 1. C(sp³)-trifluoromethylation events.



Scheme 2. $C(sp^3)$ -CF₃ architectures via $C(sp^3)$ -C cleavage.

architectures in the drug discovery pipeline from readily available precursors.^[12]

Prompted by the prevalence of carbonyl motifs and unactivated olefins in biologically-relevant molecules, we wondered whether we could reverse the innate reactivity of these building blocks with CF₃ sources by promoting a $C(sp^3)$ -CF₃ bond-formation via α $C(sp^3)$ -C cleavage instead (Scheme 1, bottom). If successful, we recognized that such a technique would not only offer an opportunity to improve our knowledge in retrosynthetic analysis by formally masking a CF3 group with an unsaturated carbonyl or olefin backbone, but also a worthwhile endeavor for chemical invention in both trifluoromethylation events^[7] and in the C-C bondcleavage arena.^[10] Driven by our interest in activating strong σ -bonds,^[13] Luo's work,^[14] and others,^[15c-d] we hypothesized that the conversion of a carbonyl compound or an olefin into a pro-aromatic precursor (I) might set the basis for enabling a homolytic cleavage of the adjacent a C-C bond via photoinduced singleTable 1: Optimization of the reaction conditions. A1 (0.05 mmol), 2(0.1 mmol), CuCl2 (20 mol%), L1 (30 mol%), 4-CzIPN (3 mol%),BTMG (0.05 mmol), in Acetone (0.025 M) at 40 °C under 450 nm blueLED irradiation for 16 h. ¹⁹F NMR yields using PhCF3 as internalstandard based on A1. [a] 1 (1.05 equiv), 2-aminobenzamide(1.0 equiv), I2 (5 mol%) in DMF (0.67 M) at 80 °C. [b] Isolated yield.BTMG = 2-tert-Butyl-1,1,3,3-tetramethylguanidine.



electron transfer (Scheme 2).^[15] The subsequent openshell intermediate might then be interfaced with an appropriate metal complex bearing the CF₃ fragment,^[16] thus leading to the targeted $C(sp^3)$ –CF₃ architecture upon reductive elimination. Herein, we describe the successful realization of this goal. This protocol is distinguished by its mild conditions, exquisite chemoselectivity profile and wide substrate scope, including particularly challenging substrate combinations.

We began our investigation by evaluating the trifluoromethylation of dihydroquinazolinone A1 (E_{ox} = +1.21 V vs SCE)^[17]-easily accessed by simple condensation of 1 and 2-aminobenzamide-with Togni's reagent 2 (Table 1). After some optimization,^[17] a protocol consisting of 2, CuCl₂, 1,10-phenanthroline (L1), 2-tert-butyl-1,1,3,3-tetramethylguanidine (BTMG) and 4-CzIPN under blue light-emitting diodes (LEDs) irradiation in acetone afforded 3 in 75% isolated yield. Ligands and copper sources other than L1 and CuCl₂ resulted in lower yields of 3 (entries 1-6). Similarly, a deleterious effect was found when employing DMF or CH₃CN instead of acetone due to competitive trifluoromethylation of the solvent (entries 7 and 8).^[18] As shown in entry 9, BTMG was important for success, probably due to its role as proton acceptor to deprotonate [A1]^{•+} arising from single-electron transfer,^[19] thus setting the scene for a site-selective homolytic C-C bond-fragmentation via aromatization. Traces of 3, if any, where observed when using DIPEA, probably due to competitive quenching of the photoexcited state of 4CzIPN* ($E_{\rm red}$ = +1.35 V vs $SCE)^{[20]}$ by DIPEA $(E_{ox} = +0.86 \text{ V vs SCE}).^{[21]}$ As expected, control experiments revealed that CuCl₂, 4-CzIPN and light were necessary for the reaction to occur (entries 11-12); note, however, that moderate yields were found in the absence of L1 (entry 4).



Next, we turned our attention to exploring the generality of our Cu-catalyzed trifluoromethylation of dihydroquinazolinones. As shown in Scheme 3, our protocol could be applied with ease to a wide number of differently substituted precursors. For example, our method could be applied independently on whether electron-rich or electron-deficient arenes were utilized en route to the corresponding products containing a trifluoromethyl moiety at benzylic positions (18-26). Even tertiary reaction sites could trigger the targeted $C(sp^3)$ -CF₃ bond-forming reaction (27, 28). Importantly, our method could be implemented with less-activated radical precursors resulting in the incorporation of the trifluoromethyl fragment at unactivated secondary cyclic or acyclic sp^3 sites (3–17). The chemoselectivity of our reaction is illustrated by the compatibility with amide (5, 14), alcohols (8), tertiary amines (15), chlorine (17, (19),^[22] and benzylic sites (6, 15, 17). Even substrates containing heterocyclic motifs do not interfere with productive trifluoromethylation (15, 26). Minor modifications of the reaction conditions were made to improve the yields in certain cases, such as for 11, 13 or 28 where KF was added to inhibit F-elimination from the corresponding CuCF₃ complexes generated in situ.^[23] Although the results of Scheme 3 tacitly illustrated the viability for incorporating $C(sp^3)$ -CF₃ motifs at benzylic or secondary alkyl sp^3 sites, it was unclear whether our trifluoromethylation could be enabled via the intermediacy of primary alkyl radicals, the least stable, yet most reactive, open-shell species in the sp³ alkyl series.^[24] Indeed, the optimized conditions in Table 1 failed to provide even traces of the $C(sp^3)$ -CF₃ architectures from dihydroquinazolinones possessing primary alkyl fragments susceptible to $C(sp^3)$ -C cleavage.^[17] Notably, a protocol based on Cu(CF₃)₃(bpy) was particularly suited for our purposes,^[3d] resulting in a broadly applicable method that allows for incorporating the trifluoromethyl motif into *unactivated* primary alkyl sp^3 sites (29–39). Critical for success was the inclusion of both 2 and BTMG, probably by facilitating the regeneration of Cu(CF₃)₃(bpy) and/or Cu(CF₃)₂(bpy) in situ.^[6e,19] As for secondary and benzylic substrates, the reaction turned out to be widely applicable and compounds possessing an alkene (34), chlorine (37) or thioether (36, 38) did not interfere with productive trifluoromethylation at the primary alkyl sp^3 site. While a priori one might argue that the presence of tertiary sp^3 alkyl or α -heteroatom C-H sites might be detrimental for the reaction due to competitive hydrogen-atom transfer at such activated positions,^[25] this was not the case (29, 32, 38, 39).

Encouraged by the results of Scheme 3, we wondered whether our C–C bond cleavage protocol could be extended beyond dihydroquinazolinones derived from ketone precursors. To this end, we turned our attention to the utilization of Hantzsch esters, easily obtained in one step from the corresponding aliphatic aldehydes.^[26] After some experimentation,^[17] we found that a combination of Cu(CF₃)₃(bpy), K₂S₂O₈, NaHCO₃ and 4-CzIPN under blue LED irradiation in MeCN at 40 °C delivered

© 2022 Wiley-VCH GmbH

Communications



Scheme 3. Scope of trifluoromethylation of alkyl ketones. Conditions: [a] A: Ketone (1.05 equiv), aminobenzamide (1.0 equiv), I₂ (5 mol%) in DMF (0.67 M) at 80 °C, see Supporting Information for characterization data of A. [b] A (0.20 mmol), 2 (0.40 mmol), CuCl₂ (20 mol%), 4-CzIPN (3 mol%), under 450 nm blue LED irradiation, R = Me. [c] Using: L1 (30 mol%), BTMG (1.0 equiv), in acetone (0.025 M). [d] Yields are reported on the basis of ¹⁹F NMR analysis using PhCF₃ as internal standard; Isolated yields are in parentheses, thus showing how the volatility of some products affects the yield loss. [e] R = Ph. [f] Using L2 (30 mol%), KH₂PO₄ (2.0 equiv), KF (2.0 equiv) in DMF (0.02 M). [g] Using di(pyridin-2-yl) methanone (30 mol%), KH₂PO₄ (2.0 equiv) in DMF (0.02 M), R = Me. [h] Using L2 (30 mol%), BTMG (1.0 equiv) in DMF (0.02 M). [i] A (0.20 mmol), Cu(CF₃)₃bpy (0.20 mmol), 4-CzIPN (3 mol%) under 450 nm blue LED irradiation, R = Ph. [j] Using Z (0.30 mmol), BTMG (1.0 equiv) in DMF (0.02 M). [k] Using K₂S₂O₈ (1.5 equiv), KH₂PO₄ (2.0 equiv) in CH₃CN (0.025 M). [l] R = Me.

the targeted products in good yields. At present, we believe that the inclusion of $K_2S_2O_8$ most likely facilitates either oxidation of the Hantzsch ester or enables access Cu^{II} species from the corresponding to Cu^I analogues.^[6b,27] As shown in Scheme 4, a wide range of Hantzsch esters could be employed as substrates en route to the corresponding trifluoromethylated products at cyclic secondary positions (12, 3, 17), acyclic secondary sp^3 sites (40, 44, 45) or even at *unactivated* primary alkyl sp^3 sites (41). Unlike the utilization of dihydroquinazolinones, this strategy could be utilized to build up trifluoroacetamide substrates via the intermediacy of acyl radical intermediates (42, 43).^[28]

Aiming at pushing the boundaries of a method that leverages pro-aromatic compounds as vehicles to enable $C(sp^3)$ -CF₃ via $C(sp^3)$ -C cleavage, we next focused our attention on the utilization of *unactivated* alkenes as radical precursors. If successful, such a technique would enable a trifluoromethylation event at a sp^3 site with a formal loss of the olefinic site, thus complementing existing methodologies that incorporate the trifluoromethyl moiety across the sp^2 C=C moiety.^[2b-e] whether we could utilize 1,4-dihydropyridazines as radical precursors,^[14] as these compounds are easily accessed in one step by simple exposure of *unactivated* alkenes to 1,2,4,5-tetrazines driven by extrusion of nitrogen gas. Gratifyingly, a protocol based on Cu(CF₃)₃(bpy) under 370 nm irradiation was suited for this endeavor, hence delivering the C(sp^3)–CF₃ backbone in good yields (Scheme 4, *bottom*).^[17] Notably, this strategy allowed the incorporation of the CF₃ fragment at both benzylic moieties (**46–49**) or at *unactivated* secondary/primary alkyl sites (**12**, **3**, **9** and **41**).

Among other conceivable scenarios, we wondered

To further highlight the utility of this protocol, we targeted ketones, aldehydes and alkenes stemming from a range of advanced synthetic intermediates and compounds with biological relevance (Scheme 5). As shown, our protocol could tolerate a wide range of functional groups and heterocyclic motifs, enabling the installation of the $C(sp^3)$ -CF₃ architecture on functionalized molecules with ease and good yields. Compounds possessing ketones (50, 56, 60), esters (51, 53, 54, 56-59, 64-66),^[22] amides (51, 55, 58, 59, 65), halides (53, 56, 58, 63, 65),

© 2022 Wiley-VCH GmbH

Angewandte

Chemie



Scheme 4. Aliphatic aldehydes or alkenes as precursors. Conditions: [a] **B**: Aldehyde (1.0 equiv), Bu₄NHSO₄ (12 mol%), ethyl 3-aminocrotonate (1.0 equiv), ethyl acetoacetate (1.0 equiv) in ethylene glycol (2.5 M) at 80°C; **C**: Alkene (1.0 equiv), dimethyl 1,2,4,5-tetrazine-3,6-dicarboxylate (1.05 equiv) in DCM (0.2 M); see Supporting Information for characterization data of **B** and **C**. [b] **B** (0.20–0.30 mmol), Cu-(CF₃)₃bpy (0.20 mmol), K₂S₂O₈ (0.30 mmol), 4-CzIPN (3 mol%), NAH-CO₃ (0.40 mmol) in CH₃CN (0.02 M) at 40°C under 450 nm blue LED irradiation. [c] Yields are reported on the basis of ¹⁹F NMR analysis using PhCF₃ as internal standard; Isolated yields are in parentheses, thus showing how the volatility of some products affects the yield loss. [d] **C** (0.20–0.30 mmol), Cu(CF₃)₃bpy (0.2 mmol) at 35°C under irradiation of 370 nm LEDs, R=Me. [e] **C** (0.30 mmol), Cu(CF₃)₃bpy (0.2 mmol), **2** (0.5 equiv) and BTMG (0.5 equiv). [f] R=H.

free alcohols (52) or amines (58, 63) that might be vulnerable to oxidation underwent chemoselective sp^3 trifluoromethylation. Moreover, nitrogen- and oxygencontaining heterocycles (51, 53-55, 57-59, 61, 63, 66) could be employed as substrates, thus holding promise for the implementation of this technology in medicinal chemistry programs. Particularly noteworthy are examples bearing benzylic and allylic $C(sp^3)$ -H sites that might a priori be susceptible for C-H trifluoromethylation (50, 51, 54, 56–58, 61, 63–65).^[6a,b] While one might argue that the presence of internal alkenes or α,β unsaturated ketones might interfere with productive $C(sp^3)$ -CF₃ bond-formation by competitive addition of the intermediate open-shell species arising from C-C bond-cleavage to the corresponding π -bonds, this was not the case and 54, 58, 62 and 66 could be all obtained in moderate yields. Taken together, the examples illustrated in Scheme 5 showcase the versatility and potential application profile that this technique might have for

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2023, 62, e202214633 (4 of 7)

forging $C(sp^3)$ -CF₃ architectures from simple, yet easily accessible, precursors.

Furthermore, the results shown in Scheme 6 illustrate the synthetic value of our protocol. Interestingly, 19, 12 and 48 were all within reach from the corresponding ketone (67), aldehyde (68) and olefin (69) without the need for isolating the pro-aromatic precursors A17, B1 and C7 (top).^[17] Although in unoptimized yields, these results show that telescoping the formation of the latter is an alternative for forging $C(sp^3)$ -CF₃ architectures from a diverse set of readily available, unsaturated precursors in a one-pot operation. More importantly, 72 was within reach via sequential, yet site-selective, trifluoromethylation of 70 possessing both a ketone and an olefin backbone via $C(sp^3)$ -C bond-cleavage (bottom). Putting everything into perspective, the results of Schemes 3 to 6 stand as a testament to the impact that our technology might have to rapidly and reliably access libraries of trifluoromethylated aliphatic compounds of interest in drug discovery.

In summary, we have developed a de novo trifluoromethylation of ketones, aldehydes and *unactivated* alkenes via $C(sp^3)$ -C cleavage driven by the propensity of pro-aromatic precursors to generate openshell species. This method is characterized by its excellent chemoselectivity profile and wide substrate scope. We believe this technique complements existing protocols in the sp^3 trifluoromethylation arena, and streamlines the access to compounds of utmost significance in both academic and industrial laboratories from simple, yet readily available, precursors. Further extensions to other related processes are underway in our laboratories.

Acknowledgements

We thank ICIQ, MICIU PID2021-123801NB-I00 and European Research Council (ERC) under European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (grant agreement No 883756) for financial support. F. C. and J. C. thank China Scholarship Council (CSC) and C. S. D. thanks European Union's Horizon 2020 under the Marie Curie PREBIST grant agreement 754558. We sincerely thank E. Escudero and J. Benet for X-Ray crystallographic data.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Communications

Angewandte International Edition Chemie



Scheme 5. Late-stage trifluoromethylation of natural products and medicinal agents. Conditions: A (0.20 mmol), $Cu(CF_3)_3bpy$ (0.20 mmol), 2 (0.30 mmol), 4-CzIPN (3 mol%), BTMG (0.20 mmol) in DMF (0.02 M); B (0.30 mmol), $Cu(CF_3)_3bpy$ (0.20 mmol), $K_2S_2O_8$ (0.45 mmol), 4-CzIPN (3 mol%), NaHCO₃ (0.60 mmol) in CH₃CN (0.02 M); C (0.30 mmol), $Cu(CF_3)_3bpy$ (0.20 mmol) at 35 °C under 370 nm irradiation. See Supporting Information for characterization data of A–C.



Scheme 6. Synthetic applicability. Conditions: *path a*: using **67** (1.05 equiv), aminobenzamide (1.0 equiv), I_2 (5 mol%) in DMF (0.67 M) at 80°C; *path b*: using **68** (1.0 equiv), Bu₄NHSO₄ (12 mol%), ethyl 3-aminocrotonate (1.0 equiv), ethyl acetoacetate (1.0 equiv) in ethylene glycol (2.5 M) at 80°C; *path c*: using **69** (1.0 equiv), dimethyl 1,2,4,5-tetrazine-3,6-dicarboxylate (1.05 equiv) in DCM (0.2 M) at r.t.

Keywords: C–C Bond Cleavage · Cross-Coupling · Pro-Aromatic Precursors · Radical Intermediates · Trifluoromethylation

- a) W. K. Hagmann, J. Med. Chem. 2008, 51, 4359; b) S. Purser,
 P. R. Moore, S. Swallow, V. Gouverneur, Chem. Soc. Rev.
 2008, 37, 320; c) K. Müller, C. Faeh, F. Diederich, Science 2007, 317, 1881; d) J. P. Béígué, D. Bonnet-Delpon, Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry of Fluorine, Wiley, Hoboken, 2008;
 e) N. A. Meanwell, J. Med. Chem. 2018, 61, 5822.
- [2] For selected references: a) G. K. S. Prakash, R. Krishnamurti, G. A. Olah, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 393; b) J. D. Nguyen, J. W. Tucker, M. D. Konieczynska, C. R. Stephenson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 4160; c) L. Chu, F. L. Qing, Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 2106; d) X. J. Tang, W. R. Dolbier Jr, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 4246; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 4320; e) L. Wu, F. Wang, X. Wan, D. Wang, P. Chen, G. Liu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 2904; f) K. Y. Ye, G. Pombar, N. Fu, G. S. Sauer, I. Kereszte, S. Lin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 2438; g) H. Zeng, Z. Luo, X. Han, C.-J. Li, Org. Lett. 2019, 21, 5948.
- [3] For selected references: a) P. Novák, A. Lishchynskyi, V. V. Grushin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 16167; b) W. Tyrra, D. Naumann, S. Quadt, S. Buslei, Y. L. Yagupolskii, M. M. Kremlev, J. Fluorine Chem. 2007, 128, 813; c) B. R. Ambler, L. Zhu, R. A. Altman, J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 8449; d) H. Shen, Z. Liu, P. Zhang, X. Tan, Z. Zhang, C. Li, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 9843; e) Y. Chen, G. Ma, H. Gong, Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 4677; f) D. J. P. Kornfilt, D. W. C. MacMillan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 6853; g) X. Zhao, D. W. C. MacMillan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 19480.

- [4] a) J. Xu, B. Xiao, C. Q. Xie, D. F. Luo, L. Liu, Y. Fu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 12551; Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 12719; b) M. D. Levin, T. Q. Chen, M. E. Neubig, C. M. Hong, C. A. Theulier, I. J. Kobylianskii, M. Janabi, J. P. O'Neil, F. D. Toste, Science 2017, 356, 1272; c) M. Paeth, S. B. Tyndall, L. Y. Chen, J. C. Hong, W. P. Carson, X. Liu, X. Sun, J. Liu, K. Yang, E. M. Hale, D. L. Tierney, B. Liu, Z. Cao, M. J. Cheng, W. A. Goddard, W. Liu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 3153; d) H. Zhang, S. L. Buchwald, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 11590; e) Y. Liang, G. C. Fu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 9523; f) M. Pu, I. A. Sanhueza, E. Senol, F. Schoenebeck, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 15081; Angew. Chem. 2018, 130, 15301; g) R. Shimizu, H. Egami, Y. Hamashima, M. Sodeoka, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 4577; Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 4655.
- [5] a) Z.-Y. Liu, S. P. Cook, Org. Lett. 2021, 23, 808; b) L. Zhu, S. Liu, J. T. Douglas, R. A. Altman, Chem. Eur. J. 2013, 19, 12800; c) N. E. Intermaggio, A. Millet, D. L. Davis, D. W. C. MacMillan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2022, 144, 11961.
- [6] For selected references: a) S. Guo, D. I. AbuSalim, S. P. Cook, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 12378; b) M. Paeth, W. Carson, J. Luo, D. Tierney, Z. Cao, M. Cheng, W. Liu, Chem. Eur. J. 2018, 24, 11559; c) H. Xiao, Z. Liu, H. Shen, B. Zhang, L. Zhu, C. Li, Chem 2019, 5, 940; d) Z. Liu, H. Xiao, B. Zhang, H. Shen, L. Zhu, C. Li, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 2510; Angew. Chem. 2019, 131, 2532; e) G. Choi, G. S. Lee, B. Park, D. Kim, S. H. Hong, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2021, 60, 5467; Angew. Chem. 2021, 133, 5527; f) J. He, T. N. Nguyen, S. Guo, S. P. Cook, Org. Lett. 2021, 23, 702; g) P. J. Sarver, V. Bacauanu, D. M. Schultz, D. A. DiRocco, Y-H. Lam, E. C. Sherer, D. W. C. MacMillan, Nat. Chem. 2020, 12, 459; h) X. Wang, Y. Ye, S. Zhang, J. Feng, Y. Xu, Y. Zhang, J. Wang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 16410; i) H. Liu, Z. Gu, X. Jiang, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2013, 355, 617; j) X.-G. Zhang, H.-X. Dai, M. Wasa, J.-Q. Yu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 11948.
- [7] For selected reviews, see: a) J. Ma, D. Cahard, J. Fluorine Chem. 2007, 128, 975; b) L. Zhu, Y. Fang, C. Li, Chin. J. Chem. 2020, 38, 787; c) H. Xiao, Z. Zhang, Y. Fang, L. Zhu, C. Li, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2021, 50, 6308; d) A. Studer, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 8950; Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 9082; e) S. Barata-Vallejo, B. Lantaño, A. Postigo, Chem. Eur. J. 2014, 20, 16806; f) J. Xu, X. Liu, Y. Fu, Tetrahedron Lett. 2014, 55, 585; g) J. Charpentier, N. Frueh, A. Togni, Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 650; h) X. Liu, C. Xu, M. Wang, Q. Liu, Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 683; i) O. A. Tomashenko, V. V. Grushin, Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 4475; j) A. L. Mudarra, S. M. de Salinas, M. H. Pérez-Temprano, Synthesis 2019, 51, 2809; k) T. Furuya, A.S. Kamlet, T. Ritter, Nature 2011, 473, 470; l) E. A. Merritt, B. Olofsson, Synthesis 2011, 517; m) E. Merino, C. Nevado, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2014, 43, 6598; n) T. Koike, M. Akita, Acc. Chem. Res. 2016, 49, 1937.
- [8] For selected references: a) X. Tan, Z. Liu, H. Shen, P. Zhang, Z. Zhang, C. Li, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 12430; b) J. A. Kautzky, T. Wang, R. W. Evans, D. W. C. MacMillan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 6522.
- [9] For selected references: a) Y. Li, Z. Ye, T. M. Bellman, T. Chi, M. Dai, *Org. Lett.* **2015**, *17*, 2186; b) S. Wu, J. Li, R. He, K. Jia, Y. Chen, *Org. Lett.* **2021**, *23*, 9204.
- [10] For authoritative reviews on C–C cleavage aided by transitionmetal complexes, see: a) K. Ruhland, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2012, 2683; b) M. Murakami, N. Ishida, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2016, *138*, 13759; c) P. Chen, B. Billet, T. Tsukamoto, G. Dong, *ACS Catal.* 2017, *7*, 1340; d) G. Fumagalli, S. Stanton, J. F. Bower, *Chem. Rev.* 2017, *117*, 9404; e) B. Wang, M. A. Perea, R. Sarpong, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2020, *59*, 18898; *Angew. Chem.* 2020, *132*, 19058; f) F. Chen, C. Wang, N. Jiao, *Chem. Rev.* 2014, *114*, 8613.

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2023, 62, e202214633 (6 of 7)

- [11] a) T. J. Ritchie, S. J. F. Macdonald, R. J. Young, S. D. Pickett, *Drug Discovery Today* 2011, 16, 164; b) F. Lovering, J. Bikker, C. Humblet, J. Med. Chem. 2009, 52, 6752; c) F. Giordanetto, C. Jin, L. Willmore, M. Feher, D. E. Shaw, J. Med. Chem. 2019, 62, 3381; d) J. Meyers, M. Carter, N. Y. Mok, N. Brown, Future Med. Chem. 2016, 8, 1753.
- [12] For selected references, see: a) L. Campeau, N. Hazari, *Organometallics* 2019, 38, 3; b) L. Choi, G. C. Fu, *Science* 2017, 356, 152; c) A. Kaga, S. Chiba, *ACS Catal.* 2017, 7, 4697; d) J. Gu, X. Wang, W. Xue, H. Gong, *Org. Chem. Front.* 2015, 2, 1411; e) J. D. Weix, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2015, 48, 1767; f) R. Jana, T. P. Pathak, M. S. Sigman, *Chem. Rev.* 2011, *111*, 1417; g) X. Hu, *Chem. Sci.* 2011, 2, 1867.
- [13] For selected recent references: a) F. Cong, X.-Y. Lv, C. S. Day, R. Martin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 20594; b) C. S. Day, R. J. Somerville, R. Martin, Nat. Catal. 2021, 4, 124; c) X.-Y. Lv, R. Abrams, R. Martin, Nat. Commun. 2022, 13, 2394; d) S.-Z. Sun, C. Romano, R. Martin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 16197; e) S.-Z. Sun, M. Börjesson, R. Martin-Montero, R. Martin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 12765; f) M. Börjesson, D. Janssen-Müller, B. Sahoo, Y. Duan, X. Wang, R. Martin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 16234.
- [14] S. Chen, Q. Zhu, Y. Cao, C. Li, Y. Guo, L. Kong, J. Che, Z. Guo, H. Chen, N. Zhang, X. Fang, J. Lu, T. Luo, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2021, 143, 14046.
- [15] For selected coupling events enabled by pro-aromatic precursors: a) A. Bhunia, A. Studer, *Chem* 2021, 7, 2060; b) Y. Xu, X. Qi, P. Zheng, C. C. Berti, P. Liu, G. Dong, *Nature* 2019, 567, 373; c) L. Li, L. Fang, W. Wu, J. Zhu, *Org. Lett.* 2020, 22, 5401; d) S.-C. Lee, L.-Y. Li, Z.-N. Tsai, Y.-H. Lee, Y.-T. Tsao, P.-G. Huang, C.-K. Cheng, H.-B. Lin, T.-W. Chen, C.-H. Yang, C.-C. Chiu, H.-H. Liao, *Org. Lett.* 2022, 24, 85; e) X. Zhou, Y. Xu, G. Dong, *Nat. Catal.* 2021, 4, 703; f) X. Zhou, Y. Xu, G. Dong, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2021, 143, 20042.
- [16] a) M. Freiberg, D. Meyerstein, J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 1
 1980, 76, 1825; b) A. MacLachlan, J. Phys. Chem. 1967, 71, 4132; c) S. S. Liu, H. Liu, S. S. Liu, Z. H. Lu, C. H. Lu, X. B. Leng, Y. Y. Lan, Q. L. Shen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 9785; d) Z. H. Lu, H. Liu, S. H. Liu, X. B. Leng, Y. Lan, Q. L. Shen, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 8510; Angew. Chem. 2019, 131, 8598.
- [17] For details, see Supporting Information.
- [18] Trifluoromethylation of DMF was observed in crude ¹⁹F NMR spectra. Evidence of trifluoromethylation of MeCN was found during our mechanistic studies. See Supporting Information for details.
- [19] In addition to BTMG's role as a base, UV/Vis, NMR and EPR data revealed an interaction between BTMG and Togni's reagent, thus likely promoting the release of the corresponding CF₃ radicals. See Supporting Information for details.
- [20] J. Luo, J. Zhang, ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 873.
- [21] W.-J. Yue, C. S. Day, A. J. Brenes Rucinski, R. Martin, Org. Lett. 2022, 24, 5109.
- [22] Deposition numbers 2173015 (for 17) and 2173016 (for cis-51) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data are provided free of charge by the joint Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre and Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe Access Structures service.
- [23] A. Zanardi, M. A. Novikov, E. Martin, J. Benet-Buchholz, V. V. Grushin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 20901.
- [24] S. Crespi, M. Fagnoni, Chem. Rev. 2020, 120, 9790.
- [25] For selected reviews dealing with hydrogen-atom transfer, see:
 a) L. Capaldo, D. Ravelli, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2017, 2056;
 b) J. C. K. Chu, T. Rovis, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2018, 57, 62; *Angew. Chem.* 2018, 130, 64; c) S. Sarkar, K. P. S. Cheung, V. Gevorgyan, *Chem. Sci.* 2020, 11, 12974; d) H. Cao, X. Tang, H. Tang, Y. Yuan, J. Wu, *Chem Catalysis* 2021, 1, 523; e) L.

© 2022 Wiley-VCH GmbH



Capaldo, D. Ravelli, M. Fagnoni, *Chem. Rev.* **2022**, *122*, 1875; f) K. P. C. Cheung, S. Sarkar, V. Gevorgyan, *Chem. Rev.* **2022**, *122*, 1543.

- [26] P. Wang, J. Chen, W. Xiao, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2019, 17, 6936.
- [27] X. Liu, R. Liu, J. Dai, X. Cheng, G. Li, Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 6906.
- [28] For a selection of photoinduced cross-coupling reactions of Hantzsch esters, see: a) Á. Gutiérrez-Bonet, J. C. Tellis, J. K. Matsui, B. A. Vara, G. A. Molander, ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 8004; b) L. Buzzetti, A. Prieto, S. R. Roy, P. Melchiorre, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 15039; Angew. Chem. 2017, 129, 15235;

c) N. Alandini, L. Buzzetti, G. Favi, T. Schulte, L. Candish, K. Collins, P. Melchiorre, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2020**, *59*, 5248; *Angew. Chem.* **2020**, *132*, 5286; d) Y. Wei, B. Ben-zvi, T. Diao, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2021**, *60*, 9433; *Angew. Chem.* **2021**, *133*, 9519.

Manuscript received: October 5, 2022 Accepted manuscript online: November 23, 2022 Version of record online: December 12, 2022